

Message

From: Walker, Russell [RWalker@nas.edu]
Sent: 7/19/2022 3:40:42 PM
To: Rangel, Jorge [Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov]; Duke, Clifford [CDuke@nas.edu]; Ray Wassel [rwassel@nas.edu]; Dehne, Andrea [dehne.andrea@epa.gov]
CC: Smith, Jennifer [Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

All: Back from leave and catching up on emails. This is the current status as I have it (pasted below) – seems like a call would be best to discuss/finalize at this point.

Russ

My responses to Jorge's questions/comments are shown in blue.

--Ray

- *Subtask 1.1* says 60 days prior to the date of the science meeting, EPA would provide us with the scientific/review topics to be discussed at the science meeting. How many days ahead is good for you? That would not likely be enough time to find the right people who would be available on the date of the meeting, interview them for potential conflicts, and discuss their potential involvement in the science meeting with EPA. In addition, it would be helpful to know at what point the date of the science-meeting will be established.

Response: 90 days would be more feasible than 60 days and consistent with the number of days specified in the previous PWS for this kind of activity.

- *Subtask 1.4* says "This meeting is expected to be conducted virtually via webinar." The word "expected" indicates a final decision has not yet been made as to whether the meeting would be virtual or in-person. I can ask but my feeling is that the reference is due to COVID (Probably) I'll ask if their preference has changed. Was your estimates based on "IN PERSON" meetings? In the past, we were expected to arrange for and cover the travel costs of experts to attend an in-person meeting. We need clarification on whether our cost estimate should include travel costs. Did you not include travel costs with your last submittal?

Response: Our previous submittal included travel costs (transportation, lodging, and logistical support) in case the experts were to participate in person. However, it seems that ORD folks have developed a firm preference to hold these kinds of meetings entirely via the Internet.

- *Subtask 1.5 Comment Compilation* Does the wording mean that we should provide EPA with any slides or visuals that the experts show at the meeting? I'll ask about this Or, does the wording mean that we should provide written summaries of the input each expert provided orally at the meeting? Need clarification.

Response: Previously, Academies staff suggested to the invited experts that they prepare a slide or two to summarize their key remarks and the slides were to be submitted to EPA, but slides were not required. We did not ask the experts to prepare any written submittals in addition to their visual aids. And Academies staff did not prepare any written summaries of the input provided by the experts.

- *VIII. Deliverables:*
 - Item (a) calls for us to provide a milestone chart within 30 days of task initiation. It will be difficult to prepare a realistic chart without having EPA's scientific/review topics and not knowing the date of the science meeting. Let me see what answer I get, maybe the milestone is "generic" or not topic specific.

Response: If the chart is expected to be generic (without delivery dates), perhaps it can be characterized as a template.

From: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 7, 2022 8:49 AM
To: Duke, Clifford <CDuke@nas.edu>; Wassel, Ray <RWassel@nas.edu>; Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>
Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Ok, please get back to me on this PWS when you get a chance.

Russ, if those are the official clarification request to that solicitation do you want to send them to Andie? Please let me know.

Thanks

Jorge G. Rangel, Jr.

Office of Research and Development,
Office of Resource Management ORD/ORM/EMD/CMB
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mail Code 8106R
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-1606

[STREAMS IV Web-page](#)

[STREAMS III Web-page](#)

[ORD Graphics and Media Support \(EP-C-18-008\)](#)

[NAS Contract Award 68HERC19D0011](#)

[ORD Data & Document \(D&D\) Services \(EP-G16H-01281\)](#)

[ALTCOR Technical Support for ORDs Ecological & Global Change Assessment Program \(EP-C-17-031\)](#)

From: Duke, Clifford <CDuke@nas.edu>
Sent: Thursday, July 7, 2022 8:33 AM
To: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>; Ray Wassel <rwassel@nas.edu>; Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>
Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Jorge,

Yes, our comments are in reference to 68HERC22R0228 (BASE)

Cliff

From: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 7, 2022 8:18 AM
To: Wassel, Ray <RWassel@nas.edu>; Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>; Duke, Clifford <CDuke@nas.edu>
Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Russ, Cliff and Ray,

It was brought to my attention that the comments you provided do not seem to correspond to the PWS that the Task Order listed above belongs with. I have attached it again to this email. Is it possible that you referenced a different award?

Please get back to me.

Thanks

Jorge G. Rangel, Jr.

Office of Research and Development,
Office of Resource Management ORD/ORM/EMD/CMB
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mail Code 8106R
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-1606

STREAMS IV Web-page

STREAMS III Web-page

ORD Graphics and Media Support (EP-C-18-008)

NAS Contract Award 68HERC19D0011

ORD Data & Document (D&D) Services (EP-G16H-01281)

ALTCOR Technical Support for ORDs Ecological & Global Change Assessment Program (EP-C-17-031)

From: Wassel, Ray <RWassel@nas.edu>

Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 6:16 PM

To: Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>; Duke, Clifford <CDuke@nas.edu>

Cc: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Russ,

My responses to Jorge's questions/comments are shown in blue.

--Ray

- *Subtask 1.1* says 60 days prior to the date of the science meeting, EPA would provide us with the scientific/review topics to be discussed at the science meeting. How many days ahead is good for you? That would not likely be enough time to find the right people who would be available on the date of the meeting, interview them for potential conflicts, and discuss their potential involvement in the science meeting with EPA. In addition, it would be helpful to know at what point the date of the science-meeting will be established.

Response: 90 days would be more feasible than 60 days and consistent with the number of days specified in the previous PWS for this kind of activity.

- *Subtask 1.4* says “This meeting is expected to be conducted virtually via webinar.” The word “expected” indicates a final decision has not yet been made as to whether the meeting would be virtual or in-person. I can ask but my feeling is that the reference is due to COVID (Probably) I’ll ask if their preference has changed. Was your estimates based on “IN PERSON” meetings? In the past, we were expected to arrange for and cover the travel costs of experts to attend an in-person meeting. We need clarification on whether our cost estimate should include travel costs. Did you not include travel costs with your last submittal?

Response: Our previous submittal included travel costs (transportation, lodging, and logistical support) in case the experts were to participate in person. However, it seems that ORD folks have developed a firm preference to hold these kinds of meetings entirely via the Internet.

- *Subtask 1.5 Comment Compilation* Does the wording mean that we should provide EPA with any slides or visuals that the experts show at the meeting? I’ll ask about this Or, does the wording mean that we should provide written summaries of the input each expert provided orally at the meeting? Need clarification.

Response: Previously, Academies staff suggested to the invited experts that they prepare a slide or two to summarize their key remarks and the slides were to be submitted to EPA, but slides were not required. We did not ask the experts to prepare any written submittals in addition to their visual aids. And Academies staff did not prepare any written summaries of the input provided by the experts.

- *VIII. Deliverables:*
 - Item (a) calls for us to provide a milestone chart within 30 days of task initiation. It will be difficult to prepare a realistic chart without having EPA’s scientific/review topics and not knowing the date of the science meeting. Let me see what answer I get, maybe the milestone is “generic” or not topic specific.

Response: If the chart is expected to be generic (without delivery dates), perhaps it can be characterized as a template.

From: Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 5:09 PM
To: Duke, Clifford <CDuke@nas.edu>; Wassel, Ray <RWassel@nas.edu>
Cc: 'Rangel, Jorge' <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Cliff, Ray,

See questions in from Jorge Rangel in red below. Please reply to all. Thanks.

Russ

From: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>; Dehne, Andrea <dehne.andrea@epa.gov>
Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Russ,

I'll reach out to the folks here to get you clarifications. If you can address my thoughts before I send them forward it would help[a bit. See my comments in RED below.

Jorge G. Rangel, Jr.

Office of Research and Development,
Office of Resource Management ORD/ORM/EMD/CMB
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mail Code 8106R
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-1606

[STREAMS IV Web-page](#)

[STREAMS III Web-page](#)

[ORD Graphics and Media Support \(EP-C-18-008\)](#)

[NAS Contract Award 68HERC19D0011](#)

[ORD Data & Document \(D&D\) Services \(EP-G16H-01281\)](#)

[ALTCOR Technical Support for ORDs Ecological & Global Change Assessment Program \(EP-C-17-031\)](#)

From: Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>

Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 4:25 PM

To: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>; Dehne, Andrea <dehne.andrea@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Hi Jorge,

Our tech folks have some questions/issues – pasted below. Let me know if we need to set up a meeting.

- *Subtask 1.1* says 60 days prior to the date of the science meeting, EPA would provide us with the scientific/review topics to be discussed at the science meeting. How many days ahead is good for you? That would not likely be enough time to find the right people who would be available on the date of the meeting, interview them for potential conflicts, and discuss their potential involvement in the science meeting with EPA. In addition, it would be helpful to know at what point the date of the science-meeting will be established.
- *Subtask 1.4* says “This meeting is expected to be conducted virtually via webinar.” The word “expected” indicates a final decision has not yet been made as to whether the meeting would be virtual or in-person. I can ask but my feeling is that the reference is due to COVID (Probably) I'll ask if their preference has changed. Was your estimates based on “IN PERSON” meetings? In the past, we were expected to arrange for and cover the travel costs of experts to attend an in-person meeting. We need clarification on whether our cost estimate should include travel costs. Did you not include travel costs with your last submittal?
- *Subtask 1.5 Comment Compilation* Does the wording mean that we should provide EPA with any slides or visuals that the experts show at the meeting? I'll ask about this Or, does the wording mean that we should provide written summaries of the input each expert provided orally at the meeting? Need clarification.
- *VIII. Deliverables:*

- Item (a) calls for us to provide a milestone chart within 30 days of task initiation. It will be difficult to prepare a realistic chart without having EPA's scientific/review topics and not knowing the date of the science meeting. Let me see what answer I get, maybe the milestone is "generic" or not topic specific.

Russ

From: Rangel, Jorge <Rangel.Jorge@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 11:09 AM
To: Walker, Russell <RWalker@nas.edu>; Dehne, Andrea <dehne.andrea@epa.gov>
Cc: Smith, Jennifer <Smith.Jennifer.L@epa.gov>
Subject: Pending MOD for DOTO_68HERC19D0011_68HERC20F0314

Hi Russ,

Thanks for taking the time to chat this morning. Much appreciated.

I've attached the PWS we discussed. Most of the comments you had inserted have been resolved (accepted). The few that remain from EPA request a detailed timeline for the performance of the required work and the corresponding costs for each step of the timeline. Once we get that information from you we can address the current end date of the task order and work on issuing a follow-on award for completion of any remaining work.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Jorge G. Rangel, Jr.

Office of Research and Development,
Office of Resource Management ORD/ORM/EMD/CMB
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mail Code 8106R
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-1606

[STREAMS IV Web-page](#)

[STREAMS III Web-page](#)

[ORD Graphics and Media Support \(EP-C-18-008\)](#)

[NAS Contract Award 68HERC19D0011](#)

[ORD Data & Document \(D&D\) Services \(EP-G16H-01281\)](#)

[ALTCOR Technical Support for ORDs Ecological & Global Change Assessment Program \(EP-C-17-031\)](#)